

Community resources

[Follow us on Twitter](#) [Check our Reddit](#) [Twitter this](#) [Digg this page](#) [Contact us on IRC](#)

courage is contagious

Viewing cable 09USNATO581,

If you are new to these pages, please read an introduction on the [structure of a cable](#) as well as how to [discuss them](#) with others. See also the [FAQs](#)

Understanding cables

Every cable message consists of three parts:

- The top box shows each cables unique reference number, when and by whom it originally was sent, and what its initial classification was.
- The middle box contains the header information that is associated with the cable. It includes information about the receiver(s) as well as a general subject.
- The bottom box presents the body of the cable. The opening can contain a more specific subject, references to other cables ([browse by origin](#) to find them) or additional comment. This is followed by the main contents of the cable: a summary, a collection of specific topics and a comment section.

To understand the justification used for the classification of each cable, please use this [WikiSource](#) article as reference.

Discussing cables

If you find meaningful or important information in a cable, please link directly to its unique reference number. Linking to a specific paragraph in the body of a cable is also possible by copying the appropriate link (to be found at the paragraph symbol). Please mark messages for social networking services like Twitter with the hash tags **#cablegate** and a hash containing the reference ID e.g. **#09USNATO581**.

Reference ID	Created	Released	Classification	Origin
09USNATO581	2009-12-10 10:19	2011-08-30 01:44	CONFIDENTIAL	Mission USNATO

Appears in these articles:

<http://www.aftenposten.no/spesial/wikileaksdokumenter/article4028274.ece>

VZCZCXRO2416
PP RUEHDBU RUEHSL
DE RUEHNO #0581/01 3441019
ZNY CCCCC ZZH
P 101019Z DEC 09
FM USMISSION USNATO
TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3727
INFO RUCNCIS/CIS COLLECTIVE
RUEHND/MOSCOW POLITICAL COLLECTIVE
RUEHNG/NATO EU COLLECTIVE
RHMFISS/HQ USEUCOM VAHINGEN GE
RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC
RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHINGTON DC
RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC
RHMFISS/USNMR SHAPE BE
RUEHNO/USDELMC BRUSSELS BE
RUEAIIA/CIA WASHDC
RHEFDIA/DIA WASHDC

10.12.2009 NATO-RUSSIA: RUSSIA "BRIEFS" NRC ON MILITARY EXERCISES

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 USNATO 000581

SIPDIS

E.O. 12958: DECL: 12/10/2019 TAGS: [PGOV](#) [PREL](#) [MOPS](#) [NATO](#) [RS](#)

REF: USNATO 546

Classified By: Ambassador Ivo Daalder for reasons 1.4 (b/d).

[1](#)1. (C) Summary: Russia harshly criticized NATO and individual Allies during a NATO-Russia Council meeting on the Russian military exercises Zs Russias "Brief" -----

[1](#)2. (C) Russian Ambassador to NATO Dmitri Rogozin briefed the NATO-Russia Council (NRC) on November 25 (at Allied request) on the recent Russia

[1](#)3. (C) Rogozin made much of a recent statement by the Polish Foreign Minister that while Poland did not fear Russia, NATO still had plans to c Allies React -----

[1](#)4. (C) The Polish PermRep said it was troubling that Russia refused to discuss Zapad and Ladoga in an open manner, even after NRC members had Rogozin Over-Reactes -----

[1](#)5. (C) Rogozin responded to the Allies by complaining that the entire discussion was "insanity" and blamed the media in Allied countries for i

[1](#)6. (C) Rogozins harsh tone prompted more Allied responses. Romania suggested that Rogozin did not understand the Polish FMs comments and other Allied concerns, while Lithuania implored Rogozin to "hear what we say and take it into account."

[1](#)7. (C) NATO Secretary General Rasmussen concluded by asking that Russia understand why NRC members wanted to raise the recent exercises in thi Comment -----

[1](#)8. (C) The Alliance has had several discussions on the Zapad and Ladoga exercises, stemming from some Allies deep concern over Russian intenti

DAALDER